

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,534	01/23/2002	Takayuki Toshima	33082M115	3236
	7590 04/04/2007 BRELL & RUSSELL	•	EXAMINER  KORNAKOV, MIKHAIL  ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
1850 M STREE	T, N.W., SUITE 800			
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20036			
			1746	
			-	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	NTHS	04/04/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			_ 1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/052,534	TOSHIMA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Kornakov	1746	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON rute; cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communicatio  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status	•		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	January 2007.		
	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	S
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-19 and 35 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-19 is/are withdr</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-9 and 35 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and</li> </ul>	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ad	ccepted or b) objected to I	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	·
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	-	•	<b>d).</b>
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  nts have been received in Apiority documents have been  au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  I) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) [] Intonious S	ummary (PTO-413)	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-692)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-692)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-692)	Paper No(s	ortimary (P10-413)  //Mail Date  formal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/052,534 Page 2

Art Unit: 1746

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 01/12/2007 has been entered.

2. Claims 1-19, 35 are currently pending. Claims 10-19 are withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to non-elected invention. Claims 1-9, 35 are examined on the merits.

### Claim Objections

3. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities: the identifier of claim 9 indicates "Previously presented". Apparently, ---currently amended---, should be written. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,534

Art Unit: 1746

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

- 5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 6. Claims 1-9, 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oka et al (U.S. 6,431,190) in view of Akimoto (U.S. 5,868,865) and in further view of JP61-98351.

The combined teaching of Oka/Akimoto provides an object processing apparatus comprising the structural elements as instantly claimed. The teaching of Oka/Akimoto has been presented in the previous office action and is fully incorporated here. The teaching of Oka/Akimoto remains silent about the rear shielding plate 15 (reads on "a lower side member", as instantly claimed) comprising a layer of hydrophobic resin on a surface of the rear shielding plate body.

JP'351 teaches substrate processing apparatus for processing a substrate with processing liquid fed to the substrate. The apparatus of JP'351 comprises plate shaped bodies (shielding plates) facing both sides of the substrate, wherein the processing

Application/Control Number: 10/052,534

Art Unit: 1746

liquid is supplied into each space formed between the plate body and surface of the substrate (Fig. 1). JP'351 specifically indicates that plate shaped body made of Teflon (hydrophobic resin) improves wetting of the substrate by processing liquid supplied into the narrow space between the plate body and surface of the substrate (Abstract; page 2). Therefore, since both Oka/Akimoto and JP'351 are concerned with providing a processing liquid into the narrow space between the substrate and the shielding plate and JP'351 teaches that hydrophobic surface of the plate shaped body enhances wetting of the substrate by processing liquid supplied into the narrow space between the substrate and plate, one skilled in the art motivated by JP'351 would have found obvious to provide hydrophobic resin onto the surface of the rear shielding plate in order to improve wetting of the object while processing it with the apparatus of Oka/Akimoto.

### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments, dated 01/12/2007 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Kornakov whose telephone number is (571) 272-1303. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am - 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,534

Art Unit: 1746

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

M. LODANOW Michael Kornakov Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

03/29/2007